

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS F O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspilo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/884,821	06/18/2001	Kenneth P. Mallon	017887-009000US	3509
DRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE NBC TOWER, SUITE 3600 455 N. CITYFRONT PLAZA DRIVE CHICAGO, IL 60611-5599			EXAMINER	
			LOFTIS, JOHNNA RONEE	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3623	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/05/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 09/884,821 MALLON ET AL.

Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	JOHNNA R. LOFTIS	3623						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MALING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.38(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SK (6) MCNTHS from the making date of this communication. - The provision of the provision								
Status								
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 M	arch 2008.							
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7,11-13 and 16-43</u> is/are pending in	the application							
4a) Of the above claim(s) 25 is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6) Claim(s) 1-7, 11-13, 16-24, 26-43 is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.							
Application Papers								
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTOISBUC) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application								
a) I i nontration Diserceure acatemicing (F + Orabico)								

Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Interview Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date	
3) Tinformation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/CC)	Notice of Informal Patent Application	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	
S. Patent and Trademark Office		

Application/Control Number: 09/884,821 Page 2

Art Unit: 3623

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

 A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 3/18/08 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-7, 11-13, 16-24 and 26-43 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection established below. Fulgoni et al has been introduced. Fulgoni et al teaches profiling or generating scoring models wherein aggregate economic activity is predicted for a population based on online data of a subpopulation.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all
 obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1-4, 6, 7, 11-13, 16-24, and 26-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tamayo et al. (U.S. 2002/0083067) in view of Fulgoni et al. (U.S. 7,181,412).

Art Unit: 3623

As per claim 1, Tamayo et al. teaches a method of predicting behavior of a population, the method comprising:

providing a modeling system configured to model aggregate behavior of a population as a function of aggregate on-line interest data, the on-line interest data based on passive observation of on-line behavior of a subpopulation, wherein the on-line behavior is related to, but different than, the behavior to be modeled, and wherein the subpopulation comprises a subset of the population, wherein the aggregate behavior to be modeled is aggregate economic activity (See figure 15, paragraphs 0034, 0036, 0039, 0058-9, 0065, 0086, 0112, 0136, 0157, 0229-30, 0234-5, 0239, wherein a modeling system is presented. The system is built to be able to collect data concerning the online behavior of a set of users of a website, and then the system is able to build models and make predictions for these and future users (i.e. the population) based on the data held in the system);

inputting to the modeling system on-line interest data related to a subject (See paragraphs 0037-9, 0056-8, 0068, 0071-2, 0080-1, 0084, 0112-5, wherein the users behavior is monitored and data concerning this behavior is input into the model to make predictions. The data is related to a subject (i.e. product that the user is likely to purchase). See also paragraphs 0136, 0138, 0151, 0157, and 0175);

generating, with the modeling system, a prediction of behavior related to the subject (See paragraphs 0070-3, 0084, 0097, 0114, 0136, 0138-9, 0151, 0157-8, 0225, wherein predictions are made concerning future behavior, specifically with regards to purchasing a product).

Art Unit: 3623

However, while the system predicts behavior related to a subject for many users, Tamayo et al. does not expressly disclose predicting aggregate behavior of the population related to the subject.

Fulgoni et al. discloses profiling or generating scoring models wherein data sets are compared to broad demographic data sets to predict the overall probability of a certain internet activity such as buying a product or viewing a video, by all persons sharing that demographic...

Both Fulgoni et al. and Tamayo et al. disclose using past data concerning a customer to forecast behavior of customers at websites. Tamayo et al. specifically builds models that are applicable to aggregate customers. Fulgoni et al. teaches predicting aggregate behavior and population estimates for a population based on data obtained from a subset of the population. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to predicting aggregate behavior related to the subject (i.e product) in order to generate a prediction of overall probability of internet activity.

As per claim 2, Tamayo et al. teaches wherein the modeling system is further configured to model aggregate behavior of as a function of characteristics of the subject to which the behavior is related, the method further comprising inputting to the modeling system data related to characteristics of the subject (See paragraphs 0058, 0080-1, 0104, 0114, 0127-8, 0136, 0140, 0151, 0177-81, 0201-2, which discloses characteristics (attributes, keywords, etc.) of the subject which are used in the model).

As per claim 3, Tamayo et al. teaches training the modeling system with a learning data set, the learning data set including: on-line interest data related to another subject, the another subject related to the subject; and actual aggregate behavior data relating to the another subject

Art Unit: 3623

(See figures 15 and 16, paragraphs 0034, 0059, 0094-5, 0115-6, 0138-9, 0175, 0185, which discloses training the models of the system using transactional and activity data of the users of the system, the system storing such data for a plurality of users).

As per claim 4, Tamayo et al. teaches wherein the on-line interest data includes on-line usage data (See paragraphs 0034, 0039-40, 0043, 0056, 0068, 0076-81, which discloses collecting data such as click stream data, navigation data, etc.).

As per claim 6, Tamayo et al. teaches wherein the aggregate behavior to be modeled is aggregate economic activity and wherein the aggregate economic activity to be modeled is related to a product (See paragraphs 0070, 0075-81, 0086, 0138, 0175, which discloses that purchase behavior is collected and stored and used to drive a recommendation process recommending products to the user. See also paragraphs 0037, 0068, 0070-3, 0082).

As per claim 7, Tamayo et al. teaches wherein the product is selected from the group consisting of a movie, a video tape, a CD, a DVD, a model of automobile, a book, a toy, an appliance, an electronic device, a pharmaceutical product, and a software product (See paragraphs 0040, 0068, 0070-3, 0084, wherein books and videos are at least discussed).

Claims 11-13 recite equivalent limitations to claims 1-3, respectively, and are therefore rejected using the same art and rationale set forth above.

As per claim 16, Tamayo et al. teaches a method of predicting a measure of aggregate economic activity related to a product, the method comprising:

providing a modeling system configured to model aggregate economic activity of a type of product as a function of aggregate on-line interest data related to products comprising the type, wherein the on-line interest data is based on passive observation of on-line behavior of a

Art Unit: 3623

subpopulation, wherein the on-line behavior is related to, but different than, the economic activity to be modeled, and wherein the subpopulation comprises a subset of a population that engages in the economic activity to be modeled (See figure 15, paragraphs 0034, 0036, 0039, 0058-9, 0065, 0086, 0112, 0136, 0157, 0229-30, 0234-5, 0239, wherein a modeling system is presented. The system is built to be able to collect data concerning the online behavior of a set of users of a website, and then the system is able to build models and make predictions for these and future users (i.e. the population) based on the data held in the system);

inputting to the modeling system on-line interest data related to a first product comprising the type (See paragraphs 0037-9, 0056-8, 0068, 0071-2, 0080-1, 0084, 0112-5, wherein the users behavior is monitored and data concerning this behavior is input into the model to make predictions. The data is related to a subject (i.e. product that the user is likely to purchase). See also paragraphs 0136, 0138, 0151, 0157, and 0175); and

generating a prediction of the behavior/activity related to the first product with the modeling system (See paragraphs 0070-3, 0084, 0097, 0114, 0136, 0138-9, 0151, 0157-8, 0225, wherein predictions are made concerning future behavior, specifically with regards to purchasing a product).

However, while the system predicts activity related to a product for many users, Tamayo et al. does not expressly disclose predicting aggregate economic activity by the population related to the product.

Fulgoni et al. discloses profiling or generating scoring models wherein data sets are compared to broad demographic data sets to predict the overall probability of a certain internet activity such as buying a product or viewing a video, by all persons sharing that demographic.

Art Unit: 3623

Both Fulgoni et al. and Tamayo et al. disclose using past data concerning a customer to forecast behavior of customers at websites. Tamayo et al. specifically builds models that are applicable to aggregate customers. Fulgoni et al. teaches predicting aggregate behavior and population estimates for a population based on data obtained from a subset of the population. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to predicting aggregate behavior related to the subject (i.e product) in order to generate a prediction of overall probability of internet activity.

As per claim 17, Tamayo et al. discloses wherein the modeling system is further configured to model aggregate economic activity of a type of product as a function of characteristics of products comprising the type and inputting to the modeling system data related to characteristics of the first product (See paragraphs 0058, 0080-1, 0104, 0114, 0127-8, 0136, 0140, 0151, 0177-81, 0201-2, which discloses characteristics (attributes, keywords, etc.) of the subject which are used in the model. See also paragraph 0235).

As per claim 18, Tamayo et al. discloses training the modeling system with a learning data set, the learning data set including: on-line interest data related to a second product comprising the type; data related to characteristics of the second product; and aggregate economic activity data relating to the second product (See figures 15 and 16, paragraphs 0034, 0059, 0094-5, 0115-6, 0138-9, 0175, 0185, which discloses training the models of the system using transactional and activity data of the users of the system, the system storing such data for a plurality of users. See also paragraphs 0037, 0068, 0070-3, 0075-82, 0086, which discloses that purchase behavior is collected and stored and used to drive a recommendation process recommending products to the user).

Art Unit: 3623

As per claim 19, Tamayo et al. teaches wherein training the model includes: adding to the learning data set additional data related to characteristics of the second product; and retraining the modeling system with the learning data set (See paragraphs 0072, 0110, 0149, which discloses updating the model for accuracy).

Claims 20-21 recite equivalent limitations to claims 18-19 and are therefore rejected using the same art and rationale set forth above.

As per claim 22, Tamayo et al. teaches wherein the on-line interest data related to the first product includes counts of page hits of a web page related to the first product (See paragraphs 0058, 0068, 0080, 0136-8, 0171, 0175, 0211, 0229-32, 0235, wherein webpage hits related to a product are counted).

As per claim 23, Tamayo et al. teaches wherein the on-line interest data related to the first product includes counts of search queries at a web site that include a phrase related to the first product (See paragraphs 0058, 0175, 0208, 0211, 0225, wherein searches related to a product are monitored).

As per claim 24, Tamayo et al. teaches wherein the on-line interest data related to the first product includes an on-line interest measurement provided by a web site (See paragraphs 0110, 0114, 0152, 0160, and 0183, which discusses interest).

As per claim 26, Tamayo et al. wherein the on-line interest measurement provided by a web site is a percentage of users of the web site initiating searches related to the first product (See paragraphs 0110, 0114, 0152, 0160, and 0183, which discusses interest. See also paragraphs 0132, 0157-8, 0161-2, which discusses percentages of users).

Art Unit: 3623

As per claim 27, Tamayo et al. teaches wherein the on-line interest data related to the first product includes aggregate Internet usage data related to the first product (See paragraphs 0058, 0080, 0114, 0136, 0175, 0208, 0211, 0225, wherein internet activity related to the product is recorded).

As per claim 28, Tamayo et al. teaches wherein the aggregate Internet usage data related to the first product includes statistics based on analyses of online events related to the first product (See paragraphs 0059, 0068, 0090, 0094, 0097, 0104, 0128, 0140, 0213, which discusses some statistical techniques used to analyze the behavior).

As per claim 29, Tamayo et al. teaches wherein online events include a result of a client making a request of a server and the server providing a response to the client (See paragraphs 0058, 0175, 0208, 0211, 0225, wherein searches related to a product are monitored).

As per claims 30-31, Tamayo et al. teaches wherein the analyses of online events includes:

automatically associating each online event with one or more subjects (See figure 15, paragraphs 0034, 0036, 0039, 0058-9, 0065, 0086, 0112, 0136, 0157, 0229-30, 0234-5, 0239, wherein a modeling system is presented. The system is built to be able to collect data concerning the online behavior of a set of users of a website related to one or more subjects):

accumulating counts for events by subject (See Table A, paragraphs 0114-5, wherein number of items are stored); and

identifying one or more categories relevant to each subject (See paragraphs 0059, 0112, 0152, which discloses grouping data);

Art Unit: 3623

accumulating counts for events by category (See figure 15, paragraphs 0034, 0036, 0039, 0058-9, 0065, 0086, 0112, 0136, 0157, 0229-30, 0234-5, 0239, wherein data is accumulated for events that occur online): and

However, Tamayo et al. does not expressly disclose outputting the accumulated counts for each subject or for each category.

Tamayo et al. discloses a system that tracks and stores online event data and has the capability to output data. Examiner takes official notice that outputting stored data from a system is old and well known in the art as a way to allow a user to understand the data contained therein. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include outputting the data collected by Tamayo et al. in order to allow a user to see and understand the data collected by the system.

As per claim 32, Tamayo et al. teaches wherein the analyses of online events further includes determining if a subject for an event is a canonical equivalent of another subject; and wherein counts for canonical equivalents are accumulated together (See figure 15, paragraphs 0068, 0088, 0112, 0175, 0208, 0210-1, 0225, which discloses keywords and conceptual classes).

As per claim 33, Tamayo et al. wherein the analyses of online events further includes normalizing counts for events over a field of events (See paragraphs 0013, 0031, 0095-6, 0170, 0235, which discloses bringing together the events in a formatted and standardized manner).

However, Tamayo et al. does not expressly disclose outputting the accumulated counts.

Tamayo et al. discloses a system that tracks and stores online event data and has the capability to output data. Examiner takes official notice that outputting stored data from a system is old and well known in the art as a way to allow a user to understand the data contained

Art Unit: 3623

therein. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include outputting the data collected by Tamayo et al. in order to allow a user to see and understand the data collected by the system.

As per claim 34, Tamayo et al. teaches wherein the analyses of online events further includes: determining a set of one or more demographic parameters relating to users that prompt the events (See paragraphs 0058, 0064, 0070-1, 0084, 0086, 0112, 0235, wherein demographic data is integrated with the other data stored in the system); and

using the set of one or more demographic parameters to partition the counts by demographic divisions (See paragraphs 0058, 0064, 0070-1, 0084, 0086, 0112, 0235, wherein the demographic data is linked to online activity to classify such data)

Claim 35 recites equivalent limitations to claim 7 and is therefore rejected using the same art and rationale set forth above.

As per claim 36, Tamayo et al. does not expressly disclose that the predicted measure of economic activity is a predicted number of sales during a period of time. Fulgoni et al teaches predicting the overall probability of a certain internet activity such as buying a product (column 14, lines 17-31).

Both Fulgoni et al. and Tamayo et al. disclose using past data concerning a customer to forecast behavior of customers at websites. Tamayo et al. specifically builds models that are applicable to aggregate customers. Fulgoni et al. teaches predicting aggregate behavior and population estimates for a population based on data obtained from a subset of the population. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to

Art Unit: 3623

predicting aggregate behavior related to the subject (i.e product) in order to generate a prediction of overall probability of internet activity.

As per claim 37, Tamayo et al. teaches wherein the prediction of the behavior/activity is a predicted monetary value of sales during a period of time (See paragraph 0235, which discloses the probability of a recommendation being bought times the profit). However, Tamayo et al. does not expressly disclose that the prediction is an aggregate prediction.

Fulgoni et al. discloses predicting aggregate behavior and population estimates for a population based on data obtained from a subset of the population (column 14, lines 17-31 – predicting aggregate behavior for a population based on data obtained from a subset (i.e., predicts probability of purchase based on education level).

Both Fulgoni et al. and Tamayo et al. disclose using past data concerning a customer to forecast behavior of customers at websites. Tamayo et al. specifically builds models that are applicable to aggregate customers. Fulgoni et al. teaches predicting aggregate behavior and population estimates for a population based on data obtained from a subset of the population. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to predicting aggregate behavior related to the subject (i.e product) in order to generate a prediction of overall probability of internet activity.

Claims 38-40 and 41 recite equivalent limitations to claims 16-18 and 20, respectively, and are therefore rejected using the same art and rationale as set forth above.

Claim 42 recites equivalent limitations to claims 27 and 28 and is therefore rejected using the same art and rationale set forth above.

Art Unit: 3623

Claim 43 recites equivalent limitations to claims 30-31 and 33 and is therefore rejected using the same art and rationale set forth above.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

 $\label{eq:consumer} And erson \ et \ al, \ US \ 5,974,396-method \ and \ system \ for \ gathering \ and \ analyzing \ consumer$ purchasing

Geerlings, US 5,956,693 – computer system for merchant communication to customers

Kilger et al, US 7,035,855 – process and system for integrating information from

disparate databases for purposes of predicting consumer behavior

Martin et al, US 6,338,066 – Surfaid predictor: web-based system for predicting surfer behavior

Srikant et al., US 6,862,574 – method for customer segmentation with applications to electronic commerce

Walker et al, US 6,128,599 – method and apparatus for processing customized group reward offers

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JOHNNA R. LOFTIS whose telephone number is (571)272-6736. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8am-4:30pm.

Art Unit: 3623

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tariq Hafiz can be reached on 571-272-6729. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/jl/ 6/3/08 /Jonathan G. Sterrett/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3623 Art Unit: 3623